UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,794	04/08/2005	Francis Thomas Boyle	100864-1P US	4278
	7590 03/11/200 CA R&D BOSTON	EXAMINER		
35 GATEHOUS	SE DRIVE	SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L		
WALTHAM, M	1A 02431-1213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,794	BOYLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the standard of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from the first form the first form the first form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of ∍ appeal. Since a			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying t				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Col	,	,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	•	-			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7,10,13-19 and 21-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12 and 20</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/MARCOS SZNAIDMA Examiner, Art Unit 1612	N/				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation in claims 7 and 19: "ovarian cancer" changes the scope of the claims and was not presented prior to the Final Office Action, and thus will require further serach and consideration. The proposed amendment will require further consideration and search to determine if it would have been obvious to have administered the elected species: ZD4054 and ZD1839, to treat ovarian cancer. Additionally, a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument's have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the proposed amendment places this application in better position for allowance and/or appeal. However, given the non-entry of the proposed amendment as noted above, applicant's arguments pursuant thereto are moot at this time..